

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

> 17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

Steve Wene, State Bar No. 019630 MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS LTD.

1850 N. Central Ave., Suite 1100

Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: 602-604-2141

e-mail: swene@law-msh.com

RECEIVED

2015 NOV 24 P 1: 34

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

SUSAN BITTER-SMITH, CHAIRMAN BOB STUMP BOB BURNS TOM FORESE DOUG LITTLE

INC. FOR AN INCREASE IN RATES

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

NOV 24 2015

DOCKETED BY

BAU

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION DOCKET IN THE APPLICATION DOCKET DOC

DOCKET NO. W-02062A-15-0224

RESPONSE TO STAFF REPORT

Southland Utilities Company, Inc. ("Company" or "Southland") hereby responds to the Staff Report docketed on November 9, 2015. Generally, Staff and the Company agree on most of the amounts in this case. The differences are readily identifiable and explained herein. Schedules supporting the Company's position are set forth in Attachment 1.

Rate Base

Staff and Southland agree on the components that make up rate base with two exceptions. Staff is recommending rate base of \$1,057,660 and Southland proposes \$1,095,707, a \$38,047 difference. The difference is comprised of \$40,715 for CIAC,

 (\$2,951) for CIAC amortization, and \$283 in the working capital allowance. The allowance for working capital is immaterial so the Company is not addressing the issue.

On the other hand, how to account for the CIAC and the associated amortization resulting from the WIFA loan is a notable difference between Staff and Southland.

In Decision 72429, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") ordered "the portion of plant paid for with WIFA Surcharge Funds shall be to treat such plant as Contributions in Aid of Construction." This was something unusual for the ACC to order, as well as being a regulatory item that must be tracked outside of the utilities books. As Staff and the Court may recall, Southland opposed this approach because it was going to create an accounting and tracking nightmare for the small water company. Unfortunately, but not surprisingly, the original application did not include the amounts the Company paid to WIFA for loan principal as CIAC or the associated amortization.

Near the end of the discovery process, Staff asked the Company to explain how it was applying the order. Tracking complications stemming from how the WIFA loan payments must be recorded on Southland's books prevent these amounts from naturally appearing in the CIAC account when the payment is made. This is due to the fact that the credit associated with the WIFA payment is to the cash account and not CIAC. Therefore, the CIAC associated with the WIFA loan cannot be recognized on the books of the utility, only be tracked separately off the books.

Southland is providing Response to Staff's Fourth Set of Data Requests to provide details of how the proposed amounts of CIAC, accumulated amortization, and the CIAC amortization rate were determined by the Company. *See* Attachment 1, Schedule 2.

Southland proposes to continue to use the historical 2% CIAC amortization rate it has been using for contributions related to line extensions and the calculated composite depreciation rate for the WIFA CIAC.

In contrast, Staff determined its amount of WIFA CIAC by totaling the principal portion of the WIFA payments from the first payment in 2013 through January of 2016, which approximates when a Decision is expected in this case. Southland's position is that it is more appropriate to wait until the next rate case to extend the amount of principal payments included in CIAC beyond the end of the current test year.

Southland continues to bill and collect WIFA surcharges, and will continue such practice until the new rates go into effect. In addition, there is a timing difference between the amounts billed to customers per month for the surcharge and when those charges are collected. Currently, Southland bills its customers for the WIFA surcharge each month, and at some point in the future, the amount should be collected as part of the normal monthly bill. The WIFA surcharge revenues are tracked separately from water revenue and deposited into a special account. Collection of the WIFA surcharge revenues to be recognized as CIAC will continue well into 2016, and will need to be tracked until all surcharge funds that were billed have been collected and used to pay the WIFA loan. In addition, the special bank account used to pay the WIFA loan will have funds available to make payments into 2017. See Attachment 1, Schedule 5 (illustrating how additional CIAC will be added as WIFA loan payments are made).

At the end of the test year, Southland has collected \$222,537 in surcharge amounts billed and paid \$146,616 in monthly payments. Of that amount, \$63,415 was for the loan

8

11 12

13

9

10

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

principal. During 2015, Southland has collected an additional \$87,121 in surcharge revenue and paid \$84,865 in monthly payments. Of that amount, \$36,562 was for the loan principal. Note that some WIFA surcharge funds have been collected but not used to pay the loan yet. Until those funds are used to make WIFA loan payments, per Decision 72429, the funds are not yet CIAC. Until the new rates take effect, Southland will continue to bill and collect this WIFA surcharge.

As indicated above, there will be additional CIAC as a result of the ongoing WIFA loan payments. However, due to the unique nature of Decision 72429, the Company believes this situation should be dispatched as fairly and efficiently as possible, so as to not incur additional cost. Including CIAC amounts beyond the test year end is not efficient or necessary unless the currently held and future surcharge receipts are not going to be considered CIAC. It is unclear what Staff's intentions are regarding the currently held funds and future surcharge collections after the decision in this case is finalized. All that is clear is that Staff recommends the surcharge will cease at that time. If the intent is that none of the surcharge funds currently held or collected after the new Decision is issued are to be counted as CIAC, then including loan principal amounts through January of 2016 would make sense as it would finalize the issue. If the intent is that future surcharge collections and the current bank account funds after the Decision in this case are indeed CIAC, then Southland believes it is more efficient to include CIAC amounts only through the end of the test year. The amount of CIAC that will be attributed to the WIFA loan can be finalized in the next rate case.

Operating Income

Regarding the CIAC amortization rate, Staff has recommended a rate of 5.6495%. Staff's rate is calculated by dividing the recommended depreciation expense of \$77,406 by depreciable plant in service of \$1,370,129 to arrive at a composite rate. The Company disagrees with using this rate as the amortization rate for a couple of reasons. First, the depreciation expense amount was calculated including post-test year plant, but the plant in service amount does not include post-test year plant. Southland believes if calculated this way, the composite rate, and therefore the CIAC amortization rate, would be 5.4459 percent. Additionally, it is unclear how Staff determined fully amortized contributions were \$78,605 when the amount is actually \$72,721.

In contrast, Southland used the composite rate of the assets paid for with the WIFA loan, which totals 5.01%. *See* Attachment 1, Schedule 2. Southland believes this amortization rate more appropriately matches the depreciation expense that will be recognized from the assets purchased with the WIFA loan proceeds, much like how the current CIAC for line extensions is being amortized at the same 2.00% rate the main lines are being depreciated.

Staff and the Company both agree that gross depreciation expense is \$77,406. See Attachment 1, Schedule 3e. Staff recommends \$6,411 for CIAC amortization expense and Southland recommends \$2,565. See Attachment 1, Schedule 2. The Company's amount appropriately matches the CIAC amortization rate to the depreciation rate for the assets paid for with CIAC funds. This results in the Staff calculated net depreciation expense being \$3,846 less than Southland. The Company believes its calculations

regarding CIAC, accumulated CIAC amortization, and the CIAC amortization rates are reasonable and appropriate and should be adopted.

Cash Flow Analysis

Southland recommends cash flow from operations to be \$72,626 as supported by Attachment 1, Schedule 3a. Meanwhile, it is unclear where Staff obtained its amounts for debt service, but an annual amount of \$83,953 was used. However, the current WIFA annual debt service per year is \$91,752. Using the correct amount of debt service would decrease the amount of cash flow from operations as shown on Staff Report Schedule JLK-6, line 28 from \$76,579 to \$68,780. This adversely impacts Company cash flow by \$7,799. Accordingly, the Company's position should be adopted.

Rates and Charges

Southland's rate design mirrors the existing split between monthly minimums and commodity rates, which is 53% to 47% respectively. With the WIFA surcharge being removed, this split offers a reasonable amount of revenue stability to ensure Southland can pay its WIFA debt.

Although Staff and the Company agreed on the original revenue requirement, it appears that Staff's rate design is in error because it does not follow the standard meter multiplier and the rates seem to exceed the revenue target. Staff Report Schedule JLK-4 lists Staff recommended rates for monthly usage charges for the various meter sizes. There is a \$20 difference between the 5/8 x ¾-inch meter and the ¾-inch meter, as well as only a \$30 difference between the monthly minimum for a ¾-inch meter and a 1-inch meter. These Staff recommended amounts do not follow the standard meter multipliers

and the revenue the rates generate does not match the revenue requirement. Southland believes this may be due to errors on Schedule JLK-4 as opposed to intentionally setting the rates as proposed by Staff.

In addition, Staff is recommending to lower the rate for service line and meter installation charges from what is currently approved. The Company submitted proposed service line and meter installation charged that were unchanged from what was approved in the last rate case. For some unknown reason and without justification, Staff had reduced these amounts between \$30 and \$80 after all these years. If Staff were to apply inflationary components to those amounts they would be much higher. Aside from any inflationary components, it is not reasonable to think that construction costs have decreased over the past six years. Furthermore, the charges proposed in the engineering report match Southland's recommendation and the current rates. Therefore, knowing inconsistencies in the Staff Report itself, Southland believes that its cost of service line and meter installation charges, which have been in place since 2009, should be adopted.

Best Management Practices

Staff recommends the Commission require the Company to file three BMPs in the form of tariffs. The Company opposes this recommendation. As noted in Commission Decision No. 74900, "BPMs are a creation of ADWR and [Naco Water Company] is not required to adopt BMPs under ADWR rules. Recent Commission Decisions have opted not to adopt BMPs for companies that have objected to their imposition." In the Naco matter, the Commission encouraged Naco to consider implementing BMPs, but there was no requirement to do so. The Commission took the same approach with the Dragoon

Water Company. *See* Decision 75037. Southland believes the approach adopted in the Naco and Dragoon cases is reasonable and the recommended opinion and recommendation here should be similar.

Effective Date

In addition to the issues raised herein, the Company requests that the upcoming decision include language that allows the rates to go into effect during the next billing cycle. This approach means the Company will not have to prorate bills, which is very time consuming and costly to the Company.

Conclusion

Southland requests the Commission approve the rates and charges as recommended in this filing to lay the ground work for how to deal with the impact of Decision 74249 without incurring additional cost.

DATED this 24th day of November, 2015.

MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS LTD.

Steve Wene

Original and **13** copies filed this 24th day of November, 2015, with:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Donnelly Sderbert

ATTACHMENT 1

Southland Utilities Company, Inc. Docket No. W-02062A-15-0224 Test Year End 12/31/2014

SUMMARY OF RATE BASE

		tual at End Test Year	Pro forma Adjustment			-	usted at End Test Year
1. Gross Utility Plant in Service	\$	1,698,854	\$	51,246	1	\$	1,750,100
2. Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(589,297)		478	2		(588,819)
3. Net Utility Plant in Service	\$	1,109,557	\$	51,724		\$	1,161,281
Less:							
4. Advances in Aid of Construction	\$	5,158	\$	-		\$	5,158
5. Contributions in Aid of Construction		87,956	\$	63,415	4		151,371
6. Amortization of CIAC		(81,023)		(2,933)	4		(83,956)
7. Net CIAC	\$	6,933	\$	60,482		\$	67,415
8. Meter Deposits		5,067					5,067
9. Accumulated Deferred Income Tax		7,597					7,597
Add:							
10. Allowance for Working Capital		-		19,663	3		19,663
11. Total Rate Base	\$	1,084,802	\$	10,905		\$	1,095,707
12. Operating Income13. Rate of Return - OCLD						\$	89,537 8.17%
1 To include post Test Year plant additions: 307 - Wells and Springs 311 - Pumping Equipment 331 - Transmission and Distribution 334 - Meters 347 - Retirement from last rate case still on books Total Rate Base Adjustment 1				19,380 30,938 1,319 1,459 (1,850) 51,246			
2 Adjust accumulated depreciation fo Remove accumulated depreciation Adjust accumulated depreciation fo Total Rate Base Adjustment 2	from	account 341	\$	(295) (174) 947 478			

- 3 Adjustment to include working capital allowance at 1/24th of purchased power and 1/8th operations and maintenance.
- 4 Refer to Schedule 2.

Detail of WIFA Loan Proceeds and Calculation of CIAC Amortization

Account	 Amount	% of Total Capitalized	Depreciation Rate	CIAC Composite Rate
304 – Structures and Improvements	\$ 22,118	2.22%	3.33%	0.07%
311 – Pumping Equipment	265,365	26.60%	12.50%	3.33%
320.1 – Water Treatment Plant	6,560	0.66%	3.33%	0.02%
330.1 – Storage Tanks	514,666	51.60%	2.22%	1.15%
331 – Transmission & Distribution Mains	27,194	2.73%	2.00%	0.05%
335 – Hydrants	153,743	15.41%	2.00%	0.31%
347 – Miscellaneous Equipment	7,833	0.79%	10.00%	0.08%
620 - Outside Services Expense	15,806	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total WIFA loan as of 12/31/2014	\$ 1,013,284	100.00%		5.01%

Additional 12/31/14 CIAC Derived from WIFA loan principal payments

	CIAC Amortization									
		CIAC		2013		2014		Total		
Year 1 - 2013	\$	26,853	\$	672.39	\$	1,345	\$	2,017		
Year 2 - 2014		36,562		-		916		916		
Total additional amounts as of 12/31/14	\$	_63,415	_		\$	2,260	\$	2,933		

Total Amount of CIAC including WIFA amounts

Year of Line Extension	CIA	C Balances	 12/31/13 ccumulated mortization	Rate	Amo	2014 Amortization Expense		2/31/14 sumulated ortization
1984	\$	72,721	\$ 72,721	-	\$	_	\$	72,721
1994		1,967	1,765	2.00%		39		1,805
1996		2,887	2,305	2.00%		58		2,363
1997		2,896	2,174	2.00%		58		2,232
2004		4,303	1,720	2.00%		86		1,806
2013		3,182	32	2.00%		64		96
2013		26,853	672	5.01%		1,345		2,017
2014		36,562	 _	5.01%		916		916
Totals	\$	151,371	\$ 81,390		\$	2,565	\$	83,955

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF OPERATING INCOME

Acct.	OPERATING REVENUES	PRIOR	TEST YEAR	PROFORMA AD.II.STMFNTS	REF #	ADJUSTED TEST YEAR	PROPOSED RATE INCR		REF PROPOSED
461	Metered Water Bevenue	\$ 241,032	\$ 223,841			\$ 223,841	\$ 145,299	9	\$ 369,140
462	Surcharde Revenue		101,942	(1,352)	7	100,590	(100,590)	9	1
474	Other Water Revenues	8,876	7,336			7,336			7,336
	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 353,689	\$ 333,119	\$ (1,352)	*	\$ 331,767	\$ 44,709		\$ 376,476
	OPERATING EXPENSES								
601	Salaries and Wages (See page 1, item 5)	*	\$			- \$			\$
610	Purchased Water (See page 1, item 6)	-	1			1			-
615	Purchased Power (See page 1, item 7)	32,813	31,976			31,976			31,976
618		390	773			773			773
620	Repairs and Maintenance (See page 1, item 8)	4,059	10,114			10,114			10,114
621		3,319	4,799			4,799			4,799
630	Outside Services (See page 1, item 9)	97,623	107,323			107,323			107,323
635	Water Testing (See page 1, item 10)	1,370	5,109	(2,099)	S1	3,010			3,010
641	Rents	1,419	1,373			1,373			1,373
650	Transportation Expenses	3,253	3,785			3,785			3,785
657	Insurance - General Liability	1,942	2,034			2,034			2,034
629		1	_			1			'
999	Regulatory Commission Exp-Rate Case	•	1	6,000	-	9'000			6,000
675	I	4,785	5,170			5,170			5,170
929		1	10,192	(8,154)	7	2,038			2,038
403		30,955	49,046	(144)	3a	48,902	25,939	36	74,841
408		,	ŧ	ļ		1			'
408.11	408.11 Property Taxes (See page 1, item 11)	9,870	12,080	4,284	4 a	16,364	285	4	16,650
409	Income Tax	50	90	11,816	5a	11,866	4,960	25	16,826
427.2	Customer Security Deposit Interest	241	227			227			227
	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 192,089	\$ 244,051	\$ 11,704		\$ 255,755	\$ 31,184		\$ 286,939
	OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)	\$ 161,600	\$ 89,068	\$ (13,056)		\$ 76,012	\$ 13,525		\$ 89,537

Cash Flow Analysis

\$ 89,537	month (91,752)	74,841	\$ 72,626
Operating Income	Less WIFA loan payments @ \$7,565 per month	Add Depreciation Expense	Cash Flow from Operations

Note: Southland adopted Staff adjustment A from Schedule JLK-3, Page 1 of 5, and it appears above as adjustment S1.

DETAIL OF PROFORMA ADJUSTMENTS

1	Adjustment 1			
2	Rate Case Expense	Annual Rate Case Expense \$	5	6,000
3		est Year Rate Case Expense	•	, -
4	Amortized over 5 year 6,000	Adjustment 1	B	6,000
	·			
5	Adjustment 2			
6	Annualize Bad Debt Expense		•	40.400
7	Bad debt written of during 2014		\$	10,192
8	Annualization period	-		2,038
9	Annualized cost	•	Þ	10,192
10 11	Test Year Expense	Adjustment 2	8	(8,154)
12	Adjustment 3	/ tajustinent 2	<u> </u>	(0,101)
13	Depreciation Expense - please refer to Pa	ne 21		
14	Test Year Actual Depreciation Expense		5	49,046
15	Adjust Depreciation Expense for Office Furni		•	54
16	Remove Depreciation Expense for Transport			(199)
17	Rounding	anon Equipment		1
18	Adjusted Test Year Depreciation Expense	_		48,902
19		ment 3a (Lines 15 + 16 + 17)	\$	(144)
	· ·• ·	/=		
20	Proposed Depreciation Expense	•	\$	74,841
21	Adjusted Test Year Depreciation Expense			48,902
22	,	Adjustment 3b	\$	25,939
23	Adjustment 4			
24	Property Tax Expense - please refer to Pa	ge 19c		
25	Calculated Property Tax Expense		\$	16,364
26	Actual Test Year Property Tax Expense			12,080_
27	, ,	Adjustment 4a	\$	4,284
28	Property Tax Expense at Proposed Reven	ue		
29	Calculated Property Tax Expense at Propose	ed Revenue	\$	16,650
30	Adjusted Test Year Property Tax Expense	· .		16,364
31		Adjustment 4b	\$	285
32	Adjustment 5			
33	Income Tax Expense - please refer to Pag		_	
34	Calculated income Tax Expense	\$	\$	11,866
35	Test Year Income Tax	A -15	Φ.	50
36	In a sure Tay Francisco of Branco of Boyoni	Adjustment 5a	>	11,816
37	Income Tax Expense at Proposed Revenu		\$	16,826
38 39	Calculated income Tax Expense at Proposed Adjusted Test Year Income Tax	i Revenue	Ψ	11,866
40	Aujusteu Test Teal Income Tax	Adjustment 5b	\$	4,960
41	Adjustment 6	, lajaalinent 05 <u>-</u>		
42	Proposed Revenue			
43	Company Proposed Revenue	•	\$	376,476
44	Test Year Adjusted Revenue			331,767_
45	·	Adjustment 6	\$	44,709
46	Adjustment 7	_		
47	Test Year Surcharge Revenue		\$	101,942
48	Adjusted Surcharge Revenue			100,590
49	Adjustment for overbilling refunded	Adjustment 7	\$	(1,352)
		-		

DETAIL OF PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS 4a and 4b

Line			Test Year as Adjusted		Company at oposed Rates
1	Adjusted 2014 Test Year Revenue	\$	331,767	\$	331,767 2
2 3	Weight Factor Subtotal	\$	663,534	\$	663,534
4	Company Recommended Revenue		331,767		376,476
5 6	Subtotal Number of Years	\$	995,301 3	\$	1,040,010 <u>3</u>
7	Three Year Revenue Average	\$	331,767	\$	346,670
8	AZ Department of Revenue Multiplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value	\$	663,534	\$	693,340
10	Plus 10% of CWIP		-		-
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		-		_
12	Full Cash Value	\$	663,534	\$	693,340
13	Assessment Ratio		19.00%		18.50%
14	Assessment Value	\$	126,071	\$	128,268
15	Composite Property Tax Rate *		12.9803%		12.9803%
16	Adjusted Test Year Property Tax Expense	\$	16,364		
17	Actual Test Year Property Tax Expense		12,080		
18	Total Adjustment 4a	\$	4,284		
19	Projected	Pro	operty Tax Expense	\$	16,650
20	Adjusted Test Year		operty Tax Expense	•	16,364
21		10	otal Adjustment 4b	Þ	285
22	* Property tax composite rate calculation:				
23	Assessed Value per 2014 Property Tax Notices	\$	86,879		
24	Property Tax due per 2014 Notices ¹		11,277 12.9803%		
25	Composite Property Tax Rate		12.9003%		

²⁰¹⁴ Property Tax Notices only were used to calculate the composite rate as after 2013 parcel 107-37-1332 was consolidated with parcel 924-56-8700.

CALCULATION OF INCOME TAX ADJUSTMENTS 5a and 5b

Line No.	<u>Description</u>			Total	Company	Total
	Calculation of Income Tax:	Test	Year	Test Year	Proposed	Proposed
1 2 3 4	Revenue Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes Other Income/Expense Arizona Taxable Income (L1 - L2- L3)	(31	,767 8,889) <u>,424)</u> 6,454		\$ 376,476 (270,113) (31,424) \$ 74,939	
5 6 7	Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L4 x L5) Federal Taxable Income (L4- L6)		000% 2,785	\$ 3,670	5.5000% \$ 70,818	\$ 4,122
8 9 10 11 12	Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$50,001 - \$75,000) @ 2 Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34 Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$100,001 - \$335,000) @ Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 -\$10,000,000) @	: % 39%	7,500 696		7,500 5,204	
13 14 15 16	Total Federal Income Tax Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L6 + L13) Test Year Income Tax Expense Adjustment 5a to Test Year Income Tax Expense	3 470		8,196 \$ 11,866 50 \$ 11,816		12,704 \$ 16,826
17 18	Adjusted Test Year Income Tax Expense Adjustment 5b to Proposed Income Tax Expense		•	·		11,866 \$ 4,960

Southland Utilities Company, Inc. Docket No. W-02062A-15-0224 Test Year End 12/31/2014

CALCULATION OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E ¹	Column F ²
Acct. No.	Description	Plant Original Cost*	Fully/Non- Depreciable Plant	Depreciable Plant (Col A - Col B)	Depreciation Percentage*	Depreciation Expense (Col C x Col D)	Proforma Depreciatio n Expense
301	Organization	\$ -	\$ -	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
302	Franchises	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
303	Land & Land Rights	1,070	1,070	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
304	Structures & Improvements	47,057		\$ 47,057	3.33%	\$ 1,199	\$ 1,567
307	Wells & Springs	30,144		30,144	3.33%	1,004	1,649
309	Supply Mains	-		-		-	-
310	Power Generation Equipment	-		_			-
311	Pumping Equipment	415,531	75,674	339,857	12.50%		46,349
320	Water Treatment Equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
320.1	Water Treatment Plants	6,560		6,560	3.33%	109	218
320.2	Solution Chemical Feeders	4,732		4,732	20.00%	946	946
330	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
330.1	Storage Tanks	653,678		653,678	2.22%	11,429	14,512
330.2	Pressure Tanks	-		-		-	-
331	Transmission & Distrib. Mains	250,436	209,091	41,345	2.00%	429	853
333	Services	41,542	41,070	472	3.33%	16	16
334	Meters & Meter Installations	78,982		78,982	8.33%	6,450	6,701
335	Hydrants	153,743		153,743	2.00%	1,537	3,075
336	Backflow Prevention Devices	-		-			-
339	Other Plant & Misc Equipment	-		-		-	-
340	Office Furniture & Equipment	-		-	6.67%	166	166
340.1	Computers & Software	-		-		-	-
341	Transportation Equipment	-		-		-	-
343	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip.	-		-		-	-
344	Laboratory Equipment	-		-			-
345	Power Operated Equipment	_		-		-	-
346	Communication Equipment	5,697		5,697	10.00%	}	570
347	Miscellaneous Equipment	7,833		7,833	10.00%	577	783
348	Other Tangible Plant	-		-		-	-
	TOTAL	\$ 1,697,004	\$ 326,906	\$ 1,370,098		\$ 49,207	\$ 77,406
	Less: Amortization of CIAC					(305)	(2,565)
	Depreciation Expense					\$ 48,902	\$ 74,841

¹ Column E amounts represent half year convention in categories that had additions in 2014.

² Column F amounts represent a full year of depreciation expense of the amount in Column C, as well as the post test year plant additions reflected below:

307 - Wells and Springs	\$ 19,380
311 - Pumping Equipment	30,938
331 - Transmission and Distribution	1,319
334 - Meters	1,459
Total Post Test Year Plant	\$ 53,096

CURRENT AND PROPOSED RATES AND CHARGES

CUSTOMER CLASS:	Res	sidential	ial Commercial			Industrial			
	Irrig	ation		All_X_		Other, sp	ecify_		
		CURI	RENT R	ATES 1		PROP	OSE	RATES	
MINIMUM OR SERVIC CHARGES	E	\$		GALLONS		\$		GALLONS	
5/8" x 3/4" Me	eter	\$ 16.00	for	-	\$	26.00	for	•	
3/4" Me	eter	22.50	for	-		39.00	for		
1" Me	eter	37.50	for	_		65.00	for	-	
1-1/2" Me	eter	75.00	for	_		130.00	for		
2" Me	eter	120.00	for	- ·		208.00	for	-	
3" Me	eter	240.00	for	_		416.00	for	-	
4" Me	eter	375.00	for			650.00	for	_	
6" Me	eter	750.00	for	-		1,300.00	for	_	
					, ,				
MONTHLY WIFA SURCHARGE		CUR	RENT F	RATES		PROP	OSE	RATES	
5/8" x 3/4" Me	eter		\$ 13.39)			0		
3/4" Me	eter		20.09)			0		
1" Me	eter		33.48	}			0		
1-1/2" Me	eter		66.95				0		
2" Me	eter		107.13	3			0		
3" Me	eter		214.25	5			0		
4" Me	eter		334.77	7			0		
6" Me	eter		669 54		1		0		

¹ Monthly minimum rates per Decision 72429 as amended *NUNC PRO TUNC* on June 30,2011.

CURRENT AND PROPOSED RATES AND CHARGES (Continued)

			Current Rates		Proposed Rates	
Commodity Charge in Excess of Minimum (Charge Per 1,000 Gallons)		Rate	Gallons		Rate	Gallons
5/8" x 3/4" Meter (All Classes)						
First Tier	\$	1.55	0 to 2,000	9	3.45	0 to 2,000
Second Tier		3.00	2,001 to 6,000		4.90	2,001 to 6,000
Third Tier		4.00	6,001 to 12,000		6.50	6,001 to 12,000
Fourth Tier		6.18	Over 12,000		7.55	Over 12,000
3/4" Meter (All classes)						
First Tier	\$	4.00	1 to 12,000	\$	6.50	1 to 12,000
Second Tier		6.18	Over 12,000		7.55	Over 12,000
1" Meter (All Classes)						
First Tier	\$	4.00	1 to 30,000	[\$	6.50	1 to 30,000
Second Tier		6.18	Over 30,000		7.55	Over 30,000
1 1/2" Meter (All Classes)						
First Tier	\$	4.00	1 to 60,000] [\$	6.50	1 to 60,000
Second Tier		6.18	Over 60,000		7.55	Over 60,000
2" Meter (All Classes)						
First Tier	\$	4.00	1 to 90,000	l [§	6.50	1 to 90,000
Second Tier		6.18	Over 90,000		7.55	Over 90,000
3" Meter (All Classes)						
First Tier	\$	4.00	1 to 125,000] [{	6.50	1 to 125,000
Second Tier	<u> </u>	6.18	Over 125,000	1 F	7.55	Over 125,000
4" Meter (All Classes) First Tier	\$	4.00	1 to 200,000] [9	6.50	1 to 200,000
Second Tier	<u> </u>	6.18	Over 200,000	▎▕▔	7.55	Over 200,000
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6" Meter (All Classes) First Tier	\$	4.00	1 to 400,000	1 6	6.50	1 to 400,000
Second Tier	۳	6.18	Over 400,000	-`	7.55	Over 400,000
	<u> </u>			, I		

Southland Utilities Company, Inc. Docket No. W-02062A-15-0224 Test Year End 12/31/2014

CURRENT AND PROPOSED SERVICE CHARGES

CUSTOMER CLASS: Residential		Commercial	Industrial
	Irrigation	All_X_	Other, specify

	CURRENT CHARGES			PROPOSED CHARGES			
SERVICE LINE AND METER INSTALLATION CHARGES	SERVICE LINE CHARGES	METER CHARGES	TOTAL CHARGES	SERVICE LINE CHARGES	METER CHARGES	TOTAL CHARGES	
5/8" x 3/4" Meter	\$ 445.00	\$ 155.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 445.00	\$ 155.00	\$ 600.00	
3/4" Meter	445.00	255.00	700.00	445.00	255.00	700.00	
1" Meter	495.00	315.00	810.00	495.00	315.00	810.00	
1-1/2" Meter	550.00	525.00	1,075.00	550.00	525.00	1,075.00	
2" Turbine Meter	830.00	1,045.00	1,875.00	830.00	1,045.00	1,875.00	
2" Compound Meter	830.00	1,890.00	2,720.00	830.00	1,890.00	2,720.00	
3" Turbine Meter	1,045.00	1,670.00	2,715.00	1,045.00	1,670.00	2,715.00	
3" Compound Meter	1,165.00	2,545.00	3,710.00	1,165.00	2,545.00	3,710.00	
4" Turbine Meter	1,490.00	2,670.00	4,160.00	1,490.00	2,670.00	4,160.00	
4" Compound Meter	1,670.00	3,645.00	5,315.00	1,670.00	3,645.00	5,315.00	
6" Turbine Meter	2,210.00	5,025.00	7,235.00	2,210.00	5,025.00	7,235.00	
6" Compound Meter	2,330.00	6,920.00	9,250.00	2,330.00	6,920.00	9,250.00	
Over 6"	N/A	N/A	N/A	Cost	Cost	Cost	

OTHER CHARGES	CURRENT CHARGES		PROPOSED CHARGES	
Establishment	\$	30.00	\$	35.00
Reconnection (Delinquent)		40.00		40.00
After Hours Service Charge		N/A		40.00
Meter Test (if correct)	\$	35.00		35.00
Deposit		*		*
Deposit Interest		*		*
Re-establishment (Within 12 Months)		**		**
NSF Check	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
Deferred Payment (Monthly)	1.5%	per mo.	1.5	% per mo.
Meter Re-Read (If Correct)	\$	20.00	\$	20.00
Late Fee (Monthly)	1.5%	per mo.	\$	5.00
Monthly Service Charge for Fire Sprinklers		***		***
Establishment (After Hours)	\$	40.00		N/A
Reconnection (Delinquent and After Hours)		50.00		N/A

Per Commission Rules R-14-2-403.B Months off system times the monthly minimum per R14-2-403.d

^{2%} of monthly minimum for a comparable sized meter connection, but no less than \$10.00 per month. The service charge for fire sprinklers is only applicable for service lines separate and distinct from the primary service line.

SUMMARY OF WIFA BANK ACCOUNT ACTIVITY

Total WIFA surcharge collected from customers and deposited into separate interest bearing account as of 12/31/14 WIFA loan payments made from account as of 12/31/14 Interest income accumulated as of 12/31/14	\$ 222,537 (145,616) 49
WIFA bank account balance as of 12/31/14 Additional surcharge collections through 11/01/15 Additional WIFA loan payments through 11/01/15 Interest income accumulated as of 11/01/15	\$ 76,970 87,121 (84,865) 66
WIFA bank account balance as of 11/01/15 WIFA surcharge billed but not collected as of 11/01/15 November 2014 estimated surcharge billing December 2014 estimated surcharge billing January 2015 estimated surcharge billing	\$ 79,291 4,416 8,383 8,383 8,383
WIFA bank balance including remaining projected surcharges December 2015 WIFA payment January 2016 WIFA payment February 2016 WIFA payment March 2016 WIFA payment April 2016 WIFA payment May 2016 WIFA payment June 2016 WIFA payment July 2016 WIFA payment August 2016 WIFA payment September 2016 WIFA payment October 2016 WIFA payment November 2016 WIFA payment December 2016 WIFA payment January 2017 WIFA payment Projected WIFA bank balance after January 2017 payment	\$ 108,856 (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646) (7,646)